

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/043,855	12/21/2001	Adonis Stassinopoulos	Cerus-5200	8419
7590 09/30/2004			EXAMINER	
John W. Tessman			SAUCIER, SANDRA E	
Cerus Corporation Suite 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2525 Stanwell Drive			1651	
Concord, CA	94520		DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/043,855	STASSINOPOULOS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sandra Saucier	1651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard part of the received by the Office later than three months after the received patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re t. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty briod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON [*] tatute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
· _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	<u>, </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice und	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quâyle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-67</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-67</u> are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	ninor					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form P10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur 	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap priority documents have been r	pplication No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	` ' ' '	eceived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	immary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	/08) 5)	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-67 are pending and subject to restriction.

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a composition used to inactivate pathogens comprising an additive solution with less than about 10mM chloride ions, a biological material and a pathogen inactivation compound, classified in class various, subclass various depending on the components of the composition.
- II. Claims 13-26, 40-53, drawn to a first method of inactivating a bacteria comprising using a solution containing less than about 10mM chloride (ion), classified in class 435, subclasses 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and 2 and others depending on the biological material.
- III. Claims 27-39, 54-67, drawn to a second method of inactivating a bacteria comprising using a solution which is essentially free of chloride (ion), classified in class 435, subclasses 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 2 and others depending on the biological material.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case (2) the product is use to produce homodexoyharringtonine as taught in US 4,152,214. In table 1, a composition is described that contains less than about 10mM chloride ion and includes the known photosensitizer, riboflavin. When the plant material is placed in this solution, it contains all the components which are required in the composition as claimed.

The processes are distinct from one another because they recite the use of distinct compositions. A composition which is essentially free of chloride ion is distinct from a composition which has less than about 10mM

Art Unit: 1651

chloride ion. The several inventions listed above are distinct from one another as they require independent searches, particularly with regard to the literature searches. Clearly, a reference which would anticipate one of the above groups would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious any of the others.

An undue burden would ensue from the examination of multiple methods. Burden lies not only in the search of US Patents, but in the search for literature and foreign patents and examination of the claim language and specification for compliance with the statutes concerning new matter, distinctness and scope of enablement.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a nonelected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

F.P.: Ochiai/Brouwer Rejoinder form paragraph

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. **Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance

Art Unit: 1651

with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.**

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra Saucier whose telephone number is (571) 272-0922. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, M. Wityshyn can be reached on (571) 272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sandra Saucier

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1651